

PETITION NUMBER:	0910-DP-09 & 0910-SIT-07		
SUBJECT SITE ADDRESS:	14751 Carey Road		
PETITIONER:	Ramsey Development Corp. of Indiana, Inc.		
REQUEST:	Development Plan Review and Site Plan Review of a		
	proposed nursing home/assisted living facility.		
CURRENT ZONING:	Bridgewater PUD		
CURRENT LAND USE:	Agricultural		
APPROXIMATE	9.4 acres		
ACREAGE:			
STAFF REVIEWER:	Kevin M. Todd, AICP		
ZONING HISTORY	0607-PUD-08	Amended and Restated Bridgewater PUD	
		Ordinance (Ord. 06-49), Approved	
	0908-PUD-04	Amended Bridgewater PUD Ordinance	
		(Ord. 09-17), <i>Approved</i>	
	1001-PUD-02	Amended Bridgewater PUD Ordinance	
		(Ord. 10-01), <i>Approved</i>	
EXHIBITS:	1. Staff Report		
	2. Aerial Location Map		
	3. TAC Letters		
	4. Petitioner's Plans		

PETITION HISTORY

This development plan petition was reviewed at the November 24, 2009 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting. It was before the Advisory Plan Commission (the "APC") on February 1, 2010 and will receive a public hearing at the February 16, 2010 APC Meeting.

NOTE: The City Council approved Ordinance 10-01 (APC Case No. 1001-PUD-02) on February 8, 2010. This ordinance amended the Bridgewater PUD standards as they apply to this development plan petition.

PROCEDURAL

- Requests for Development Plan Review and Site Plan review are required to be considered at a public hearing. The public hearing for this petition will be held on February 16, 2010 at the Advisory Plan Commission Meeting.
- Notice of the February 16, 2010 public hearing was provided in accordance with the APC Rules of Procedure.
- o Approval of a Development Plan and Site Plan Review must be granted if the submitted plans demonstrate compliance with the terms of the underlying zoning district, subdivision control ordinance or applicable PUD, any variances associated with the site, and any



commitments associated with the site.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed development project is located along Carey Road, on the northern half of Parcel M4 in the Bridgewater PUD (the "Property"). The Property is divided into two lots. The proposed health care facility (the "Project") is located on Lot 2. The principal structure for the Project is approximately 65,000 square feet in size and includes 120 beds, which will be used for a mixture of skilled care and assisted living needs. There are two access points to the Project, one aligning with Village Park North Drive and the other aligning with Saddlehorn Drive. The Project includes 120 parking spaces for the health care facility. The northwest corner of the Property is a one-acre outlot (Lot 1), which is being reserved for future development. This development plan request does not include the outlot property.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENT

1. Zoning District Standards		
	PROPOSED	<u>STATUS</u>
BRIDGEWATER PUD STANDARDS		
Section 8 – Uses		
B. Assisted Living Facilities & Nursing Homes	Assisted Living Facility & Nursing Home	Compliant
Section 10 – Development & Architectural Stand	lards	
G. Area Y		
1. Aggregate Maximum Square Footage of all Buildings = 701,000 sq ft	100,000 sq ft	Compliant
Maximum Parcel Coverage = 535,000 sq ft	100,000 sq ft	Compliant
2. Open Space of Area Y = 20%	68%	Compliant
3. Arch Standards – Apartments	NA	NA
4. Arch Standards – Outdoor Cafes	NA	NA
5. Arch Standards – Retail	NA	NA
6. Non-Residential Standards		
a. Min. Lot Area = $2,000$ sq ft	Lot $1 = 0.81$ ac.	Lot 1 = Compliant
	Lot $2 = 6.47$ ac.	Lot 2 = Compliant
b. Min. Lot Width at Building Line = 25'	Lot 1 = 241'	Lot 1 = Compliant
	Lot $2 = 633$ '	Lot 2 = Compliant
c. Min. Lot Depth = 70'	Lot 1 = 145'	Lot 1 = Compliant
	Lot 2 = 457'	Lot 2 = Compliant



1.77 1.70		
d. Yard Dimensions		T A A DIA
i. Setback from Internal Street = NA	i. Lot $1 = NA$	i. Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = NA$	Lot $2 = NA$
ii. Setback from External Street = 1:2	ii. Lot $1 = NA$	ii. Lot $1 = NA$
slope = 54	Lot $2 = 245$ '	Lot $2 = Compliant$
iii. Side Yard Setback = NA	iii. Lot $1 = NA$	iii. Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = NA$	Lot $2 = NA$
iv. Rear Yard Setback = 20'	iv. Lot $1 = NA$	iv. Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = 85$ '	Lot $2 = Compliant$
e. Max. Building Height = 50'	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = 27$	Lot 2 = Compliant
		_
f. Max. Building Footprint = 100%	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = 22\%$	Lot 2 = Compliant
g. Loading, parking & drive-throughs in	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
rear/side yards	Lot $2 = \text{Loading } \&$	Lot $2 = Compliant$
	parking in side/rear	1
	yards	
Area Y Restrictions		
a. 1 tenant up to 65,000 sq ft in Area Y	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = 64,860$ sq ft	Lot 2 = Compliant
b. 1 tenant up to 65,000 sq ft in this area of	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
Parcel M4	Lot $2 = 64,860$ sq ft	Lot 2 = Compliant
c. 1 tenant office space up to 40,000 sq ft	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
in Area Y	Lot $2 = NA$	Lot $2 = NA$
d. 2 tenants up to 20,000 sq ft in Area Y	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
	Lot $2 = NA$	Lot $2 = NA$
e. 4 tenants up to 15,000 sq ft in Area Y	Lot 1 = NA	Lot $1 = NA$
the second of th	Lot $2 = NA$	Lot $2 = NA$
f. All other tenants up to 10,000 sq ft in	Lot 1 = NA	Lot 1 = NA
Area Y	Lot $2 = NA$	Lot $2 = NA$
11104 1		
7. Parking Spaces = No Requirement;	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
petitioner provided supporting information	Lot $2 = 120$ spaces	Lot $2 = Compliant$
petitioner provided supporting information	2002 = 120 spaces	2002 - Compilant
Section 11 – Landscaping		
A. Tree Inventory Credit	NA	NA
B. Internal Street Buffer Yards	NA	NA
D. michiai succi Dunci Taius	11/7	11/1



C F (10) (N 1		
C. External Street Perimeter Yards	1 374	1 374
1. Parcel I, Parcel J, & Parcel K	1. NA	1. NA
2. Area Y = 1 shade or 2 orn/40' AND 8	2. 28 shade trees;	2. Compliant
shrubs/40'	119 shrubs	
596' = 15 shade trees; 119 shrubs		
2a. Parking Lot Screening along External	NA	NA
Streets		
2b. Loading Area Screening along External	Loading Area in Rear	Compliant
Streets = wall or evergreen hedge		
3. Area Z Perimeter Yards	NA	NA
D. Parcel H1 Buffer Yards	NA	NA
E. Parcel H2 Buffer Yards	NA	NA
F. Area Z Buffer Yards	NA	NA
G. Parcel K-3 Buffer Yards	NA	NA
H. Parcel M4 Buffer Yard (eastern edge)		
• 40' width	• 40' buffer yard	Complaint
• 7' berm	• 7' berm	• Compliant
 8' pine trees in two parallel rows 	• 8' pine trees in	• Compliant
o pino usos in the parametro ha	two parallel rows	Сотрама
	two puramerrows	
Section 14 – Signage	NA	NA
Sound I - Signage		
Exhibit 17 – General Architectural Standards, Ar	rea Y	I
Neo-traditional style	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
·	Lot $2 = \text{Neo}$	Lot $2 = Compliant$
	traditional	
Façade treatment	Lot $1 = NA$	Lot $1 = NA$
3	Lot $2 = Windows$,	Lot 2 = Compliant
	doors, cross gables,	
	dormers, soldier	
	course, bowlock	
	course	
Open Space = passive, usable space	Gazebo	Compliant
Pedestrian Circulation = continuous, accessible	Continuous,	Compliant
	accessible pedestrian	I
	system	
	J	
Parcel M4 (applies to Lot 2 only)		1
A. Max. Building Height = 1 story	1 story	Compliant
B. Max. Vertical Distance from Grade to Eave	10'	Compliant
of Rear Elevation = 25'	10	Compliant
C. Roof-Mounted HVAC = Screened	Not roof-mounted	NA
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·



D. Drive-Throughs and Parking in Rear Yard = Prohibited		No Drive-Throughs; Parking not in Rear Yard	Compliant
E. Dumpsters = brick exterior; roof; AND connected to building OR located between buildings OR screened to east by landscaped berm		Brick enclosure with roof; landscaped berm screen to the east	Compliant
Exhibit 18 – Landscapi	ng		
On-Site: (Business)	Required	Shown	<u>+/-</u>
Shade	94	73 shade trees + 43 orn/evergreen tree substitutions	Compliant
Orn / Evergrn	94	94	Compliant
Shrubs	235	238	Compliant
Parking Lot Island:	Required	Shown	+/-
115 spaces x 200 sq ft	2,300 sq ft of parking of landscaped islands (w/ 1 tree and 4 shrubs each)	9,710 sq ft of islands; Min. of 1 tree and 4 shrubs each	Compliant
WESTFIELD ZONING	G ORDINANCE STANDA	ARDS	
WESTI IEED ZOMING	S ORDINITION STATE		

2. Overlay District Standards

The Property does not fall within an overlay district.

3. Subdivision Control Ordinance

The Property is not being subdivided. The Subdivision Control Ordinance is not applicable.

4. Development Plan Ordinance (WC 16.04.165, D3)

a. Site Access & Circulation – See BRIDGEWATER PUD STANDARDS, Exhibits 16 & 17

b. Landscaping – See above – BRIDGEWATER PUD STANDARDS, Exhibit 18

c. Lighting Standards (WC 16.07.010)

The submitted photometric plan complies with the lighting standards. The submitted light fixtures do not. Staff recommends that the APC delegate lighting plan approval of this petition to staff, so that this development plan can be approved at the February 16, 2010 APC Meeting. If delegation to staff is acceptable to the APC, a building permit would not be issued until a compliant lighting plan has been reviewed and approved by staff.



- d. Signs See above BRIDGEWATER PUD STANDARDS, Section 14
- e. Building Orientation See above BRIDGEWATER PUD STANDARDS, Exhibit 17
- f. Building Materials See above BRIDGEWATER PUD STANDARDS, Exhibit 17

5. Comprehensive Plan Compliance

The Future Land Use Concept Map in the Westfield-Washington Township Comprehensive Plan (the "Comprehensive Plan") identifies the Property as Local Commercial (p. 23). The Comprehensive Plan identifies office uses, service uses, institutional uses, and attached residential dwellings as appropriate in the Local Commercial area (p. 47). The Use incorporates several of the contemplated uses for this area, as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.

6. Street and Highway Access

The proposed entrance points align with adjacent subdivision entrances, thereby creating safe access and reducing congestion on Carey Road.

7. Street and Highway Capacity

The Westfield Public Works Department ("WPWD") is working with the petitioner to determine the need for and design of any necessary street modifications to Carey Road. The design of any necessary street modifications to Carey Road does not have an impact on zoning compliance.

8. Utility Capacity

There is sufficient capacity for public utilities to serve the Property, as determined by WPWD.

9. Traffic Circulation Compatibility

Entrances, streets, and internal traffic circulation facilities comply with applicable zoning standards and are compatible with existing and planned streets, and adjacent development.

PUBLIC POLICIES

Comprehensive Plan-Feb 2007

The Future Land Use Concept Map in the Westfield-Washington Township Comprehensive Plan (the "Comprehensive Plan") identifies the Property as Local Commercial (p. 23). The Comprehensive Plan identifies office uses, service uses, institutional uses, and attached residential dwellings as appropriate in the Local Commercial area (p. 47). The Use incorporates several of the contemplated uses for this area, as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.

Thoroughfare Plan-Feb 2007

The current Westfield-Washington Township Thoroughfare Plan (the "Thoroughfare Plan") roadway classification map identifies the impacted segment of Carey Road as a "Secondary Arterial" (p. 4-20), and recommends a minimum dedication of a sixty (60) foot half right-of-way (p. 5-3). The Thoroughfare Plan further recommends the provision of an eight (8) foot asphalt path within the right-of-way (p. 5-3). The submitted plans comply with the Thoroughfare Plan



Plan Documentation

WESTFIELD-WASHINGTON
ADVISORY PLAN COMMISSION
SECOND MONTHLY MEETING
February 16, 2010
0910-DP-09 & 0910-SIT-07

by indicating sixty (60) feet of right-of-way from the centerline of Carey Road.
Parks & Recreation Master Plan-Dec 2007
The Westfield Parks & Recreation Master Plan focuses on the build-out and development of the
community's existing parks and trail systems. The Property is not adjacent to an existing park or
trail. When the adjacent property to the south develops, the multi-use path along Carey Road
will connect the Property to a multi-use path that will run along 146 th Street. Ultimately, a
pedestrian/bicyclist on the Property will be able to use the Carey Road and 146 th Street multi-use
paths and access the Cool Creek Trail and the Monon Trail, among other destinations.
paths and access the Cool Creek Trail and the Woholi Trail, among other destinations.
Water & Covier Criston
Water & Sewer System Water & Sewer System
Water and sewer services run nearby the Property and would provide acceptable levels of service
to the Facility.
Annexation The Branch is initially a second of the City of NY (City of NY (Ci
The Property is within the corporate boundaries of the City of Westfield.
W. W. J. D
Well Head Protection-Ord. 05-31
The Property is not within a wellhead protection area.



Pending compliance with any conditions, the petitioner will have provided the required documents.

Required Submittals	Original	Amended	<u>Status</u>	
Application	09/04/09	-	Compliant	
Fees	09/04/09	-	Compliant	
Legal Description	12/30/09		Compliant	
Consent Form	02/08/10	ı	Compliant	
Site Plan	09/04/09	02/08/10	Compliant	
Location Map	02/09/10	1	Compliant	
Landscape Plan	09/04/09	01/25/10	Compliant	
Sign Plan	NA	ı	NA	
Lighting Plan	09/04/09	02/08/10	Request delegation to staff	
Building Elevations	12/02/09	11/25/10	Compliant	
Access & Circulation	09/04/09	01/25/10	Compliant	
Traffic Impact Study	02/01/10	-	Compliant	

Required Procedure	<u>Original</u>	<u>Status</u>
Pre-Filing Conference	06/15/09	Compliant
TAC	11/24/09	Compliant
Public Notice	02/05/10	Compliant

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Delegate approval of the Lighting Plan to the Westfield Community Development Department Staff.
- 2. Approve 0910-DP-09 & 0910-SIT-07 with the following condition:
 - a. That all necessary approvals and permits be obtained from the Westfield Public Works Department and the Hamilton County Surveyor's Office prior to the issuance of a building permit.

KMT